

## **EXCEPTION**

GUST ROSENFELD P.L.C. 201 N. Central Avenue, Suite 3300

Phoenix, AZ 85073.3300 (602) 257-7473

Michael Salcido - 009828

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

RECEIVED

2001 AUG 20 P 2:58

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Attorneys for Respondent Garreth N. Patton

Arizona Corporation Commission

**ORIGINAL** 

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Chairman JIM IRVIN

Commissioner MARC SPITZER

Commissioner

In the Matter of:

CALUMET SLAG, INC. GARRETH N. PATTON JEFFREY G. CRAWFORD MATTHEW E. HUNZINGER

Respondents.

AUG 2 0 2001

DOCKETED BY nac

**DOCKET NO. S-03361 A-00-0000** 

**PATTON'S EXCEPTIONS** TO PROPOSED ORDER

The following facts were not controverted at the hearing in this matter:

- A. Patton only spoke to about thirty (30) investors:
- В. Patton put about 80% of the money received from the sale of CSI stock back into the company; and
  - C. Atkins, Hurley, Delmonowski, and Hawash made most of the stock sales.

The remedy set forth in the Order is unfair and inconsistent. Either the investors want to keep their investment in the slag pile, or they don't. If they want the investment, then why should Patton be ordered to pay all their money back? If the investors truly believe they have been defrauded, as the Securities Division contends, then the slag pile should be returned to Patton, who believes that it, in fact, has value.

26

25

1 Patton now has the worst of all worlds. He does not have the slag pile. He does not 2 have the stock issued in exchange for the slag pile. And he does not have the money received 3 from the sale of the stock. 4 At the same time, the men who masterminded this debacle, Atkins and Hurley, are 5 running the company, which now owns the slag pile. This was all done with the Division's 6 blessings. The Division declared to the Commission that Atkins and Hurley did not take part in 7 the wrongdoing, and are fit to run the company and control investor funds. 8 If the purpose of this action was to protect the investors, then the Division should 9 prosecute everyone who violated the Securities Act. The Division's own witnesses purchased 10 their stock from Atkins, Hurley, Delmonowski and Hawash. pp. 19, 62-63, 78-79, 80-81, 81-82, 114-116, 176-177, 186-187, and 227-229. It is not fair for Patton, who contributed the 11 12 main asset to the company, and who did not enjoy the benefit of the investor funds, to be forced 13 to incur the wrath of the Commission alone. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: 14 15 GUST ROSENFELD P.L.C. 16 17 By 18 Attorneys for Respondent 19 **ORIGINAL** and ten (10) copies filed with: 20 **Docket Control** 21 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington 22 Phoenix, AZ 85007 23 **COPIES MAILED** to: Marc Stern Hearing Division 25 Arizona Corporation Commission

Phoenix, AZ 85007

26

1300 W. Washington, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

1	
-1	I D. D-16.
2	James B. Palfai Securities Division
3	Arizona Corporation Commission 1300 W. Washington, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007-2929
4	
5	John R. Augustine, Jr. 2727 N. Third Street, Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1001
6	
7	Kevin D. Quigley Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391
8	
9	Aw Berry
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	